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* First, we queried thirty attributes from our database and checked the row counts (final count 56,250 consistent with our database). Each column was checked for missing values and there were none.
* Checked for feature imbalance in our chosen label, outbreak\_related, and found a 1:4 split for True:False. Did not undersample to even the imbalance as this is not an extreme skew.
* Checked categorical attributes for their cardinality. Gender had four values. Removed the rows for ‘unspecified’ and ‘gender diverse’ as they represented 0.6% of cases (having a binary 1/0 is easier for our purposes than having to one-hot-encode four attributes).
* Converted binary variables like gender, city, holiday, weekend, outbreak\_related to numeric 1/0.
* Age was converted to an ordinal, normalized numeric of equal spacing. [0.1, 0.9] for ‘<20’ to ‘90+’.
* Used the get\_dummies() Pandas function for one-hot-encoding of two categorical attributes: zone\_measures, acquisition\_group.
* All numerical columns were min-max normalized using MinMaxScaler from sklearn package (10 attributes).
* Labels were deleted and stored in a separate column, leaving a final feature set of 26 attributes with values [0,1] and 56, 247 rows.
* Feature selection was perform on the attribute values, first with the Low Variance method using VarianceThreshold() from sklearn package with threshold parameter = 0.8\*(1-0.8). This method did not reduce any of our attributes. A second method of tried, tree-based, using ExtraTreesClassifier() from sklearn package with n\_estimators=50. This reduced our features to only 5: { 'age', 'CC', 'MISSING INFORMATION', 'NO KNOWN EPI LINK', 'OB'}. Four out of the five are one-hot-encoded values from the acquisition\_group variable, indicating that many of the outbreak related cases are linked by known contact transmission, community transmission, “OB” meaning outbreak, which is a trivial relationship in our dataset.
* The data was split into training and test sets for the features and label (using a 20/80 split), stratified on the labels.
* Overall, there were not too many issues with the data, aside from the high number of redundant features identified through tree-based feature selection.